

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,511	09/22/2003	Douglas A. Beigel	28864U	5823
20529 THE NATH I	7590 05/05/200 AW GROUP	EXAMINER UTAMA, ROBERT J		
112 South We	st Street			
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3715	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	Applica
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/665,
Review	ROBER

Applicati	on/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
10/665,5	511	BEIGEL, DOUG	LAS A.
		Art Unit	
ROBERT J. UTAMA		3715	
	*		

Part of Paper No. 20090430

This is in response to the Pre-Appea	I Brief Request for Re	eview filed 29 January 2009.			
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Freason(s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper a	and a conference will not be held for the following			
☐ The Notice of Appeal has ☐ The request does not inc ☐ A proposed amendment ☐ Other:	lude reasons why a r				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.					
held. The application remains un is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because to brief in accordance with the from mailing this de bitice of appeal, which BY CFR 1.136 based	rferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has beer there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applican th 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal scision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date.			
☐ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13, 18-</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from co	27.	daim(s) is as follows:			
Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosec applicant at this time.	conference has been cution on the merits re	n held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of mains closed. No further action is required by			
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A action will be mailed. No further		held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office applicant at this time.			
All participants:					
(1) <u>Utama, Robert J.</u> .		(3)Garbe, Stephen.			
(2) Thai, Xuan.		(4)			
/XUAN M. THAI/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3715	/Stephen Garbe/ TC 3700 RQAS				